Legal Pattern or Practice

Pattern or practice defines as, and manifests in, two or more organized acts or instances which indicate ensuant activity. Those acts include conspiracy to harass and coerce aged people through wrongful use of language that evades providing a duty of care. That construes as legally defined "misconduct in public office" (not the currently used weasel word "maladministration".

[Misconduct in Public Office]

Maladministration decisions effectively call for saying "sorry", tantamount to granting impunity for serious crimes by issuing "get-out-of-jail-free cards". They do not suffice as a legal means to punish crimes committed with malice aforethought by public sector officials and their sycophants. Moreover, maladministration protocols favour arbitrary decisions by unqualified, bureaucratic double-dippers. If the victims complain, then they ratchet up the coercion and start another merry-go-round by completely ignoring the legal right to proportionality. The procedure has become widespread in UK when handling complaints about refusal of public services to aged people in violation of Equality Act 2010. Ombudsman services and judicial reviews only encourage public sector officials to repeat the same adverse behaviour with impunity. Officials use mutual blackmail (as demonstrated in these case studies) to evade laws and deny their victims due process without proportionality.

[Maladministration]

Proportionality, a general principle in law, covers several special (although related) concepts used as a criterion of fairness and justice in statutory interpretation processes. It especially applies to constitutional law as a logical method intended to assist in discerning the correct balance between the restriction imposed by a corrective measure and the severity of the nature of the prohibited act. Within criminal law, it conveys the notion that the punishment of an offender should fit the crime. A crime has to not only be clearly defined but proven using statutes and valid legal precedents. That means not only arbitrarily quoting laws, but also arguing in each case how they specifically apply to each and every given circumstance.

[Proportionality] WIP

Four public sector organizations et alia evaded their responsibilities to investigate both current and previous harassment by a pattern or practice of evasive behaviour in violation of Equality Act 2010 and other statutes in pari materia. In particular, the articles address misconduct in public office by public sector officials who for self-aggrandizement have chosen not to address serious issues within their bailiwick using a plethora of contempt prior to investigation.

[Equality Act 2010 - Banning Age Discrimination in Services]

This case study currently addresses four instances with a continuum of named principal transgressors:
1.

Houghtons Opticians and by extension General Optical Council (GOC).

Christopher Houghton, Independent Optometrist.
Ian Dobson, Independent Optometrist.
Nina C Houghton Unregistered Assailant (Assault with a Deadly Weapon).
Samantha Peters, Chief Executive and Registrar, GOC.
Gareth M Hadley, Chair, GOC.

[The Quango Quacks and their Fellow Travellers - Misconduct in Public Office]

2.

The Elms Medical Centre, Countess of Chester Hospital NHS and by extension General Medical Council (GMC).

Michael John S Lowrie MD, Senior Partner.
David Snowden MD, Partner.
Atiya Alam-Jones, Practice Manager.
Nicholas Michael Hickley MB, ChB, SpR in Ophthalmology.

[The Hypocritical Oaf - Alleged Malpractice]

3.

Chartered Institute of Legal Executives (CILEx)

Mary Mandie Jane Lavin, Barrister-at-Law.
Former Director of Regulation, General Optical Council and by extension Chief Executive, Chartered Institute of Legal Executives (CILEx).

[The Quango Quacks and their Fellow Travellers Revisited (CILEx)]

4.

EAD Solicitors, Liverpool and by extension Citizens Advice Bureau (CAB) and Solicitors Regulation Authority (SRA).

Stephen Cornforth, SRA ID# 121197.
Helen Bridget Barry, SRA ID: 133729

The following four people had 48 hours to provide academic and professional qualifications that entitled them to act in an advisory, agency, paralegal or legal secretary capacity relative to the CAB referral and furnish details of their registration with an appropriate regulatory authority. Also, to provide the name and professional affiliation of their SRA registered supervisor.

They responded to Complainant with a harassing telephone call from a prostitute (an action which effectively said "fuck off"), then hacked his computers. From now on (as principals of EAD), Cornforth and Barry will be elevated to pimp and madam, respectively. If members of the public
need legal prostitution services in the "Red Light" areas around Netherfield Road North and the Sheil Road area of Kensington, Liverpool (or need a home visit) then they should call Cornforth or Barry at 0151 735 1000 stating the category of solicitor that they prefer (male of female).

Richard Billing
Elaine Bland
Lee Fuller
Thomas Pye

[EAD Solicitors Liverpool - Code Violations]

**Racheting up Coercion**

Following the deadline for mitigation, EAD staff members and agents tried to ratchet up coercion by the actions described in the following response to what effectively construes as a deadlock letter (which due to the criminal nature of the acts described) extended the deadline for response until 17:00 - Friday, 27 March 2015).

Date: Sun, 22 Mar 2015 09:49:28 +0000
To: Stephen Cornforth <steve.cornforth@eadsolicitors.co.uk>
From: Paul Trummel <trummel@contracabal.org>
Subject: Final Notice - Deadlock Letter - 880-07-17
Cc: Helen Bridget Barry <helen.barry@eadsolicitors.co.uk>

**Final Notice**

Stephen Cornforth (SRA ID# 121197)
Senior Partner
EAD Solicitors LLP, Liverpool

Helen Bridget Barry, (SRA ID: 133729)
Head, Medical Negligence Department
EAD Solicitors LLP, Liverpool

I attach copies of two communications that had a deadline for response 17:00 - Friday, 20 March 2015.

[PT-15-0317-2027]

[PT-15-0318 0912]

I will consider recent responses by EAD employees and their agents as deadlock letters. A reasonable person will consider the content as showing a pattern or practice of abuse of an aged person in violation of Equality Act 2010 (as amended by Banning Age Discrimination in Services - Government Equalities Office) and Fraud Act 2006.

[Equality Act 2010 - Banning Age Discrimination in Services]

I received two communications (an email message containing malware and a telephone call containing abuse) after the deadline which will be processed as criminal complaints against EAD Solicitors LLP. The coercion of non-SRA registered EAD staff members and their agents (Richard
Billing, Elaine Bland, Lee Fuller and Thomas Pye) has now reached criminal, international proportions.

Stephen Cornforth, Senior Partner and Helen Bridget Barry, Head, Medical Negligence Department, EAD Solicitors LLP, Liverpool have until 17:00 - Friday, 27 March 2015 to investigate and mitigate the new and previous issues. Neglect to respond will result in filing the previously mentioned complaints and computer hacking crimes with UK regulators and Internet complaints with US Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI).

Only solicitors registered with Solicitors Regulation Authority (SRA) (holding current practicing certificates) should respond over their own signature to this and any future correspondence.

1. 

This email message contained computer malware which caused damage to computer systems and applications connected with Contra Cabal web sites.

"Notice to Appear. You have to appear in the Court on the March 28. You are kindly asked to prepare and bring the documents relating to the case to Court on the specified date. Note: The case will be heard by the judge in your absence if you do not come. You can review complete details of the Court Notice in the attachment. Clerk of Court."

The attachment contained Trojan Malware which caused severe damage that cost approximately £5,000.00 to repair which will be charged back to EAD and GOC.

The notice is attributed to an EAD paralegal and a GOC public sector official, jointly and severally, with IT malware and services furnished by their agent. This ranks as another pattern or practise of abuse by EAD. In the case of the hacking, irrefutable proof of the perpetrators and the procedure used exists.

2. 
XX-15-0321-1653. Telephone call made to the dedicated number allotted to EAD to which only Elaine Bland and Thomas Pye had access.

A voice said in pigeon English: "I want to have sex with you for money". After 30 seconds of silence, she repeated the statement then a voice could be heard in the background grooming her by telling her what to say. The call lasted for one minute before hanging up. This call is attributed to EAD and their staff members who, apart from three Contra Cabal Foundation associates, were the only people who had access to that telephone number.

Mitigation

Cornforth and Barry (EAD) had until a deadline (Friday, 20 March 2015) to respond with particularity to the content of previous correspondence. Now, complaints impend filing with Solicitors Regulation Authority (SRA) and Citizens Advice Bureau (CAB) against EAD Solicitors LLP and registered solicitors, jointly and severally. They did not respond to the generous opportunity to mitigate unlawful actions by their employees; instead, they launched two attacks.
(one on CCF Inc. and the other on the Complainant personally) by computer/web hacking and sexist abuse, respectively. The issues will now be taken under advisement.

All the articles linked to this panel form part of Work in Progress.